

# 'Catch-Up' Strategy for 2020-21



Summary information 'Catch up' Grant					
Academy	Bishop Bronescombe C of E School				
Academic Year	2020-21	Total Catch up budget (estimated)	£24,160	Number of pupils	302

SECTION ONE: Initial Evaluations and Summary				
Initial Evaluation Tools used	NFER Reading and Maths assessments RWI assessments YR baseline assessment Boxall profiles Teacher assessments of writing Pupil wellbeing survey Teacher observations Attendance analysis			

# **Identified Barriers** (summary of the main findings of your initial evaluation)

#### Maths

There are gaps in learning across the maths curriculum, in all year groups.

## Reading

Pupils have fallen behind in reading. They are less fluent; stamina has decreased and their ability to infer meaning is less strong.

#### **Phonics**

Some pupils have fallen behind in their phonic knowledge; some have forgotten sounds whilst others are less proficient at blending and are therefore less fluent readers.

### SEMH

Some pupils have been impacted by trauma or the lockdown experience; they are showing a lack of resilience, increased anxiety and some challenging behaviour

### Attendance

Although overall attendance is almost in line with last year, a significant number of children classed as persistent absentees are from disadvantaged families.

SECTION TWO: Teaching an effective remote learning)	nd Whole School Strategies (e.g. profess	sional development, supporting	early career teachers, assessment,		
What do we want to achieve?	How?	Cost?	Progress/Impact		
Identified evaluation or assessment as a baseline	What will you do to address this?	Provide simple breakdown of proportionate or full costs.	6 weekly impact assessment against identified baseline		
Difficulty in assessing gaps in learning / reliable baseline assessment needed to identify gaps and children in need of catch-up	Purchase of NFER reading and maths tests to baseline assess and track through year / measure impact	£1047. 32 x 3 terms £3141.96 total	N/A		
NFER Reading tests identified 35 children in y2-6 had dropped from meeting expectations to working towards or below.  Disadvantaged pupils are not attaining as well as non-disadvantaged.  SEND pupils are not attaining as well.	Use of NFER test analysis to inform planning to address gaps enabling Quality First teaching in class and intervention groups.  Purchase and implementation of Accelerated Reader  Purchase of 3 x iPads per KS2 class to enable children to access quizzes / e-books regularly	AR Year 1 purchase costs - £2761.56 £5250.96	Baseline:  All Pupils Exp SExp JExc Reading 49% 29% 16%  Pupil Premium Exp SExp JExc Reading 40% 17% 9%  SEND Exp SExp JExc Reading 27% 7% 27%		
Pupils, particularly disadvantaged pupils, are language poor (ongoing teacher assessment)	CPD for teachers (targetting early career teachers in KS2)/ support with planning to address gaps from specialist ex-Primary Consultant (1 hour per week x 25 weeks)  Resource books	£1000	Reading         JExp         SExp         JExc           Y2         All         48%         30%         9%           PP         25%         0%         0%           Y3         All         50%         28%         25%           PP         33%         22%         22%           Y4         All         60%         40%         18%           PP         50%         29%         7%           Y5         All         61%         41%         18%           PP         33%         8%         8%		

Further development of mastery approach needed in maths, as well as wider curriculum	CPD for teachers: Maths hub support – 1 x KS1 teacher / 1 x Ks2 teacher	Funded	All Pupils Maths Pupil Premium	Exp 50% Exp	SExp 28% SExp	JExc 12% JExc
	Enquiry-led teaching and coaching programme, including: Webinars for teachers and TAs PTI hub CPD Resource books Supply / cover costs	£1000	Maths	39% 209	20%	9%
	Maths Mastery CPD for TAs Cover costs	£200				

SECTION THREE: Targeted Support (structured interventions, small group tuition, one-one support, effective deployment of TAs, reading interventions)							
What do we want to achieve?	How?	Cost?	Progress/Impact				
Identified evaluation or assessment as a baseline	What will you do to address this?	Provide simple breakdown of proportionate or full costs.	6 weekly impact assessment against identified baseline				
NFER Reading tests and RWI assessments have identified 35 children in y2-5 who have dropped from meeting expectations to working towards or below. Disadvantaged pupils are not attaining as well as non-disadvantaged. SEND pupils are not attaining as well.	TA-led intervention – LKS2 and y5 Target children for QFT in class  TA-led phonics intervention for lowest 20% (those that did not meet phonics threshold in y1 / did not complete at end of y2 due to lockdown)	N/A – run by class TAs and teachers	Baseline: All Pupils Exp SExp JExc Reading 49% 29% 16%  Pupil Premium Exp SExp JExc Reading 40% 17% 9%  SEND Exp SExp JExc Reading 27% 7% 27%  Reading JExp SExp JExc				
			Y2       All       48%       30%       9%         PP       25%       0%       0%         Y3       All       50%       28%       25%         PP       33%       22%       22%         Y4       All       60%       40%       18%         PP       50%       29%       7%         Y5       All       61%       41%       18%         PP       33%       8%       8%				

RWI phonics assessments identified 16/44 pupils (36%) in Y2 and 5/41 pupils (12%) in	Y2 – 1:1 and small group phonics intervention (RWI and whole word bespoke planning)	Specialist Intervention HLTA: Class TA time £3800	See above and:  Year 1- Number of pupils - 41			
Y1 had dropped from meeting expectations to working towards or below			Working below	Working within ARE	Working within ARE or above	
and therefore needing further phonics catch-up			18/41 (44%)	14/41 (34%)	23 (56%)	
5 Y3 pupils did not meet			Year 2- Nur	nber of pupil	S – 11	
phonics screening in Y1 and did not access intervention/ complete screening in Y2 due			Working below	Working within ARE	Working within ARE or above	
to lockdown. 1 Y3 pupil achieved borderline result	Y1 – pinny time intervention daily – class teacher and TA led Some 1:1 / small group intervention by specialist HLTA		28/44 (64%) 4/44 (9%) 16/44 (36%)  Year 3- Number of pupils - 40			
and has droppede below expectations.			Working V below t	Vorking Workir owards within ARE	Morking within ARE or above	
	Y3 – daily phonics / reading / fluency intervention – class TA led		1 1 2 2	3/40 - 10/40 2.5% 25%	- 20/40 - 50%	
NFER Maths tests identified	Use of NFER test analysis to inform	£7300	Baseline:			
29 children in y2-6 have	planning to address gaps enabling Quality		All Pupils	Exp	SExp JExc	
Disadvantaged pupils are			Maths	50%	28% 12%	
	8,0423.		Pupil Premiu	ım Exp	SExp JExc	
	Intervention – for UKS2 by booster teacher		Maths	39%	20% 9%	
attaining as well as disadvantaged.			SEND	Exp	SExp JExc	
			Maths	27%	12% 6%	

CENID II	TA-led intervention – LKS2 & Y2	£1300	Ma	ths		JExp	SExp	JExc
SEND pupils are not attaining as well.			Y1	All		76%	37%	17%
	National Tutoring Programme – 1:3 tuition for disadvantaged pupils working below or well below			PP		78%	44%	33%
A significant number are working below or well below.			Y2	All		50%	25%	11%
Working below of well below.	2 x groups in each of Y4, Y5, Y6			PP		42%	0%	0%
	15 sessions		Y3	All		63%	30%	13%
				PP		33%	22%	22%
			Y4	All		56%	36%	9%
				PP		36%	29%	0%
			Y5	All		61%	36%	18%
				PP		25%	8%	8%
			Y6	All		67%	42%	18%
				PP		54%	31%	8%
			Base			_		
			be and	% rking elow d well elow	Y6	Y5		Y4
			All		5 - 4.4%	44 - 20.5%		5 - 8.9%
			PP		3 - 8.5%	12 - 41.7%		. – 2.9%
EYFS baseline has identified a cohort attaining low in Listening & Attention and Moving & Handling	Whole class focus on learning behaviours.  QFT teaching on fine motor skills challenges in provision. 3x per week focussed, teacher-directed activities. Funfit intervention – x5 per week for 5 pupils	N/A – run by class teachers / TAs	Baseline: 42% ARE- Listening & attention 47% ARE – Moving & handling					
		N/A – run by class TAs						

RWI and EYFS baseline assessments in YR have identified 26 pupils (60%)	Teacher-led, differentiated phonics groups. Regular pinny-time interventions and phonics games in provision.	N/A – run by class teachers	EYFS Baseline:  Below ARE   Working at ARE   Above ARE				
working below ARE in Reading			26 - 60% 15 - 35% 2 - 5%				
3 YR pupils are working well below and 19 working below in Speaking	TA 1:1 intervention x3 per week following SALT targets	N/A – run by class TAs	Baseline: 3 pupils well below / 18 below				
III Speaking	'I Can' intervention programme (purchase of intervention materials, resources, training). X3 per week, 10 sessions	£550					
	QFT teaching focusing on quality vocabulary development, including modelling/repeating and quality stories.						
Pupils displaying behaviours which indicate anxiety or lack of readiness to learn	Nurture group support – 1 full-time TA in KS1 and 1 full-time TA in YR Working on targets from Boxall profiles	Already funded from Pupil Premium funding	Baseline: 4 x KS1 and 2 x YR pupils assessed as needing nurture and Wild Tribe intervention.				
	TIS interventions led by TIS practitioners		Boxall assessments identified areas of need.				
			Review- in Spring to determine impact				
	Education Mental Health Practitioner support – 1:1 interventions / counselling	Free	5 accessing EMHP support in Autumn Term				
	Wild Tribe intervention (5 groups – 1 x week) working on targets from Boxall profiles	50% already budgeted in staff and Pupil Premium budget / 50% already budgeted from Sports Premium budget	Baseline: 29 pupils assessed as needing SEMH intervention.				
		Fremium budget	Boxall assessments identified areas of need.				
			Review- in Spring to determine impact				

SECTION FOUR: Wider Strategies (e.g. sustaining parental engagement, social and emotional learning, reinforcing behaviour routines, breakfast clubs)							
What do we want to achieve?	How?	Cost?	Progress/Impact				
Identified evaluation or assessment as a baseline	What will you do to address this?	Provide simple breakdown of proportionate or full costs.	6 weekly impact assessment against identified baseline				
Pupils displaying behaviours which indicate anxiety or lack of readiness to learn	Mental health and resilience CPD Recovery CPD TIS whole school focus on SEMH recovery	Free Free	TIS baseline undertaken Review in Spring				
Persistent absence – 39 pupils (12.91%) have a attendance percentage of >90% and 55 pupils (18.21%)	<ul><li>- engage and support target</li><li>e of disadvantaged families to improve</li></ul> Premium funding		Baseline: Whole school attendance: 95.72% Pupil Premium attendance: 92.08%				
are at >93%	- monthly online coffee mornings to facilitate peer support group		Below 96% Below 93% Below 90%				
	racilitate peer support group			All pupils 25.17% / 76 18.21% / 55 12.91% / 39			
			PP 40.79% / 31.58% / 24 23.68% / 18				
		Total cost					
		£22,806.92					